CONSIDERING ALTERNATIVES: LOCAL SOLUTIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

David Barkin*

Resumen:

Como respuesta a la incapacidad de los enfoques ortodoxos de ofrecer soluciones adecuadas para el mejoramiento de la calidad de vida, varias comunidades campesinas e indígenas están consolidando sus alternativas con base en cosmovisiones propias. Estas estrategias involucran complejos procesos de gobernación interno y negociaciones con las instancias oficiales que siguen tratando de imponer sus visiones desarrollistas que incluyen el "despojo" de sus recursos naturales más valiosos para impulsar el desarrollo e integración al mercado internacional. El análisis derive de una síntesis de las experiencias de numerosas comunidades y experiencias de diversas partes de México y otras regiones de América Latina, ejemplificando la gran variedad de enfoques actualmente en curso en la región como parte de los esfuerzos de forjar alternativas que contribuyen a la justicia ambiental.

Palabras Clave: justicia ambiental, sustentabilidad, estrategias alternativas

Summary:

In response to the inability of orthodox approaches to offer adequate solutions for improving their quality of life, many indigenous and peasant communities are consolidating alternatives based on their own cosmologies. These strategies involve complex processes of internal governance and negotiations with official agencies that continue to impose their visions of development that include the "dispossession" of their most valuable natural resources to stimulate development and integration into the international market. The analysis derives from a synthesis of the experiences of numerous communities and experiences in many parts of Mexico and other regions in Latin America, illustrating the wide variety of approaches presently underway in the region as part of the concerted efforts to forge alternatives that contribute to environmental justice.

Key words: environmental justice, sustainability, alternative strategies

^{*} David Barkin, Ph.D. en Filosofía, Profesor Distinguido, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Unidad Xochimilco, México D.F. Tel: 5483-7100, correo electrónico: barkin@correo.xoc.uam.mx

Resumo:

Em resposta à incapacidade de abordagens ortodoxas para oferecer soluções adequadas para a melhoria da qualidade de vida, várias comunidades camponeses e indígenas estão consolidando suas alternativas com base em suas visões de mundo próprias. Estas estratégias envolvem complexos processos de governança interna e negociações com os organismos oficiais que estão tentando impor suas visões desenvolvedores a incluir o "saque" dos seus mais valiosos recursos naturais para promover o desenvolvimento e integração no mercado internacional. A análise resulta de uma síntese das experiências de muitas comunidades e experiências de diferentes partes do México e outras regiões da América Latina, ilustrando a variedade de abordagens atualmente em curso na região como parte dos esforços para forjar alternativas que contribuem para a justiça ambiental.

Palavras-chave: justiça ambiental, sustentabilidade, estratégias alternativas

1. Introduction: Environmental Justice

Most macroeconomic and macrosocial approaches to environmental justice focus on the problems of income distribution and the generation of large-scale programs for creating new opportunities to readjust the possibilities of participation in programs contributing to social justice. In spite of repeated attempts to integrate themselves into this dynamic, many communities – especially peasant and indigenous communities – have found themselves increasingly isolated in the broader processes of national and international economic integration. This marginalization has extended far beyond the problems of a heightening polarization in income distribution, as the global processes progressively devalue not only their labor power and the productive activities in which they engage, but also their ability to administer their resources and the unique skills and knowledge systems that are part of their underlying strength in maintaining the social, political and cultural cohesiveness among their members.

In the face of these very unfavorable tendencies, an increasing number of social groups are not only trying to defend their own geographic spaces, but are also organizing to reassert their ability to administer their resources; they are forging alternative mechanisms for developing social and productive systems that allow them to improve their quality of life and protect the environments in which they live and on which they depend. In trying to understand these processes, it is clear that the communities are operating in ways that are not easily explained by reference to the dominant notions for stimulating development or promoting social welfare. The key components of the strategies adopted by the communities are intimately dependent on different kinds of institutions that operate on the basis of principles of participation and validation that are quite different than those prevailing in the dominant institutions of the nations of which they are a part. These communities have suffered in their confrontations with the State which often uses its capacity to mobilize legal and paralegal sources of police and military power to repress them. More recently, however, alternative forms of interaction have become more common, with developments of negotiated "understandings" that allow for the emplacement of local (regional) alternatives for governance of social, productive and natural systems. This part of the "Environmental Governance in Latin America" project will focus on these alternative understandings and arrangements as institutions for developing new strategies for constructing sustainability for those peoples willing and able to separate themselves from the dominant institutions and processes in their countries.

The starting point for these alternative institutional organizations must be clearly understood as the cultural and geographic foundations of which the participating peoples are a part. As such, we assume that the project takes as its point of departure a responsibility to develop an understanding of the way in which local cosmologies and understandings of larger political processes shape institutions and socio-political practices that produce the different productive and environmental responses that are widely observed in these communities. Our first task is to collaborate with those communities interested in and able to join us in identifying people who can systematize their own personal experiences in providing leadership in the communities and in mobilizing other community members to participate in implementing the strategies that proved to be contributions in their efforts to consolidate alternative development strategies. This involves the understanding of alternative strategies and the decision-making process to integrate local knowledge and organizations that incorporate people into a consensual process for advancement.

2. Going beyond the state of the art: A methodological discussion

In academic terms, the collaboration involves an understanding of the ways in which the "diálogo de saberes" adopted by people searching for their own ways out of their marginalization contributes to the goals set forth in the literature on "post-normal science" and "transdisciplinarity" as means for mainstream science to interact with alternative knowledge and philosophical frameworks; from our exchanges with people in the communities that have embarked upon this path, it is clear that they are actively and continually engaged in a process of acquiring knowledge from outside and critically searching for ways to incorporate new proposals into their societies in such a way as to strengthen community without threatening its foundations. Wolf (1982) identified the centrality of innovation as an integral part of the process of reinforcing tradition in his examination of the long sweep of the history of the meeting (clash?) of civilizations.

Sustainable and equitable strategies of environmental management are one of the most pressing needs for achieving social and economic progress. An in-depth review of existing literatures of such strategies highlights the need to take into account community experiences. This experience suggests that there are a number of common principles involved in community activities; we have identified five fundamental tenets in this approach: **autonomy**, **solidarity**, **self-sufficiency**, **productive diversification**, and **sustainable ecosystem management**. Each encapsulates a conception of collective action and a series of activities; as a whole they offer a framework for evaluating the proposals and the advances of societies in their search for a better quality of life.

We begin by incorporating the concepts of "diálogo de saberes", "post-normal science", and "transdisciplinarity" into a formal discussion of knowledge systems. The autonomous communities are designing their alternative strategies. In this document some specific cases are mentioned to highlight the differences between the options offered by the dominant theory and the proposals being implemented in the communities. One particular case explores the challenges of local management system to be incorporated in a legal territorial framework based on participatory co-management process.

The inclusion of the concept of the "good life" (buen vivir, in Spanish; Sumak Kawsay in Quichua) in the new constitutions of both Bolivia and Ecuador reflects a growing commitment to implement alternative development strategies, embodying a variety of cosmologies throughout the Americas; similar expressions of the "good life" are a central part of the philosophical heritage of other indigenous groups (Dussel, et al., 2010: Part 1, 15-50) and are influencing non-indigenous traditional communities. Their proposals for creating viable strategies require local control of geographic and political space, involving alliances among peoples searching for new responses to the global forces of exclusion. The turmoil and upheavals elsewhere in the region are often mistaken for chaos and opportunism but are inspiring new waves of political experimentation and innovation.

The difficult process of integrating present-day epistemologies into traditional organizations and knowledge systems is generating innovative forms of collaboration and production, of political consolidation and alliances. These demonstrate the need to expand beyond the improvement of individual capabilities to focus on the primacy of collective determinations of the worth of their activities and on collective entitlements, assuring the viability of community processes for individual participation, if societies are to liberate themselves from the globalized straitjackets imposed by international economic integration.

Today, many communities are attempting to develop in direct consonance with their environments and the natural pressures emanating from the ecosystems on which they depend. It seems remarkable that myriad communities developed belief systems and ways of life that enabled them to thrive in harmony with their surroundings, as has been described in innumerable accounts of the interactions between societies and their ecosystems (e.g., Loyaza and Rist 2000; Sarmiento, et al., 1993); Wolf (1982) stressed the importance of adaptive behavior in 'traditional' societies that modified some of their customs in response to changing external conditions while reinforcing those that they considered of greatest significance for their identity and continued existence

Today, many scientists acknowledge the significance of the accumulation of knowledge of the workings of the natural world through the centuries in many communities; a considerable scientific literature has emerged to document and comment on their interest in and ability to develop remarkable and innovative solutions to complex problems and changing social and environmental pressures (Bebbington 1998; Mathews 2003; Rist 2000). The importance of this accumulating knowledge is appreciated by local communities worldwide and codified into religious and lay traditions that continue to be passed on in sacred texts, by story tellers, keepers of 'the word' or, more recently, by students from these communities (Hernández Xolocotzi 1985). Recently, a new academic practice humbly acknowledges our inherited debt to these numerous traditions, producing different understandings of the functioning of the world; this new tradition spawned a literature on "post-normal" science, promoted by the European Commission (Funtowicz and Ravetz 1993; and Ravetz and Funtowicz 1999), and was further enriched by the explorations of the *Diálogo de Saberes* (Barkin and Fuente 2011; Freire 2011; Leff 2010; Tortosa 2010; Villoro 2004). These analyses are now documented in numerous case studies (e.g., Berkes and Davidson-Hunt 2007; Frey 2000; Hornborg, et al., 2007; Toledo and Barrera Bassols 2008).

3. Community experience and commitment

In Mexico, many communities actively involved in efforts to escape from the dynamics of social and economic marginality were systematically impoverished during the process of international economic integration (Barkin 2000). Capitalist modernization, that attempted to impose a new rationality on these peoples, was unable to create generalized well-being in communities with economic growth and the commercialization of "nature, ecological behaviors, and cultural values" (Rist, 2008; Leff 2004:197). Orthodox science, and the power structures that it supported, underestimated the "collateral damage" inflicted by their vision and their policies (Bergh 2007; Gunderson and Folke 2011; Holling 2001). The heightening of social inequalities and the reduction of ecological resiliency are particularly evident as open pit mining operations are emplaced throughout Mesoamerica and Andes (Boyce, et al., 2006; Garibay and Balzaretti 2009); this intensification of natural resource exploitation has become so widespread and devastating that social scientists introduced a new concept – "accumulation by dispossession" (Harvey 2003)— to describe the sacrifice of any ethical notion of distributive justice and the wholesale devastation of mountain regions worldwide, even as the same governments declare their commitment to promoting sustainability.

In response to the onslaught of "civilization", many communities and social groups are constructing new paths that reinforce their own concepts of progress. They are reclaiming parts of their history and inviting others to join them in integrating the best of state-of-the-art

practice as part of an effort to strengthen their societies, to join them in forging new structures that will promote meaningful forms of sustainability, assuring enduring patterns of equality and an informed process of ecosystem management for rehabilitation and conservation (Nepal 2002). This experience is based upon the idea that people codify their knowledge systems in such a way as to attempt to manage their environments and produce the goods they need for their own well-being and for improving their conditions (Villoro 2004); Barkin (1998; 2000) and Toledo (2000) describe the logic underlying these alternative community organizations that integrate social and environmental objectives into unified ecosystem management systems. Our interaction with these communities has been guided by a process best summarized as innovation to strengthen tradition, informed by the insights of Eric Wolf (1982) whose work demonstrated that adaptive behavior is characteristic of the most successful 'traditional' communities (Barkin and Lemus 2011).

In their attempts to better control their own resources to promote improvements in local wellbeing, many peasant groups are confronting the institutions shaped by the dominant development project. They are choosing to revalue and transform their own institutions as part of their strategies to create alternatives to the generalized processes of proletarianization and exclusion that present themselves as the only viable path. The case of community forestry in some regions of Mexico is an example of this constant struggle. 1 In this regard, Mexico offers an exemplary experience in this area (Bray et al., 2007). About 80% of the 55 million hectares of forests is located in 8,500 agrarian communities organized as ejidos, with an estimated population of more than 12 million people (Conafor, 2008). These Mexican forests manifest a strong relationship between cultural and biological diversity as well as by the biotic resources associated with their agro-ecosystems (as illustrated by the extraordinary variety of native varieties of native maize - landraces) (Boege, 2008). Many communities have a long history of struggle that is likely to continue well into the future as a result of the exclusionary characteristics of international economic integration. The social responses to the granting of concessions to private interests (domestic and international) for the exploitation of what they consider to be "their" natural resources -forest lands, mining rights, and water– has generated a wide variety of creative initiatives.²

Along with these myriad examples of local alternatives to neoliberal policies, other spheres of collective action involve the search for organizational, productive, and technological

¹ In general, the Mexican experience over the control and exploitation of forest resources is particularly notable with regard to the sustainable management of common property resources. There is an ample literature about the implications and dimensions of community forestry management; among some of the more notable sources are the contributions of David Bray (1995; 2003; 2007) and Daniel Klooster (2000), as well as many others.

² These conflicts are proliferating and intensifying in Mexico and elsewhere with the expansion of mining. Canadian corporations have been particularly aggressive in obtaining these concessions.

innovations that contribute to improve the quality of life by facilitating work without generating environmental disequilibria. In addition to the forestry resources referred to above, the project envisages an analysis of efforts to develop strategies in the face of governmental projects to construct large-scale infrastructures, often involving efforts to develop new products that can generate employment opportunities and contribute to local well-being. Another line of research involves the efforts of workers to take control of work places being closed because of the vicissitudes of the local and international economies; the Argentine experience is of particular interest that we expect will offer lessons to better understand how to organize local initiatives for the production of manufactures and services.

4. Communality

"Communality" is a central concept that guides the formulation of these activities. Although the concept emerged from experiences in indigenous regions of Oaxaca, it is evident that it is useful to understand a broader dynamic of social construction in many spheres of activity, and regions, both rural as well as urban. As will become obvious in the rest of this section, there is a great deal of similarity between the underlying principles of "Buen Vivir", under intensive discussion in South America, and the philosophical orientation emerging from the development of the concept of "communality" in Oaxaca.

"Communality" encapsulates important elements of the heritage of Mesoamerican culture – elements that many also consider to be common to other cultures in Latin America – involving a constant renovation of their communitarian practices and now have spread to other groups as they organize to forge new alternatives for themselves. The local solutions we propose to analyze emerge from the practice of defining and implementing this concept of "communality". Today's practice emerges strengthened by the communities' long experience since the early colonial period that forced them to develop intercultural skills that continue to serve them well in the modern period (Lockhart, 1985). New leaders emerged with solid philosophical and political training that facilitated their efforts to strengthen collective efforts to reinforce community governance, integrating this new concept to encompass a complex political and cultural process that they set into motion (Martínez Luna, 2010; Díaz, 2007). This concept of communality is an epistemological contribution that explicitly integrates social and cultural traditions with those involving the appropriation of nature in a manner significantly different from that implemented by the "western" project of society.

The modern concept of communality involves the "construction of a desirable future" (Regino in CDI, 2007:83). This new category reflects a diverse and complex experience, a daily practice enjoyed by all people living in the region; the concept is being renewed continually

through community assemblies which exercise a new form of authority, of collective work, and of identification with their ecosystems. Floriberto Diaz (2007) offers his own interpretation of the concept, evolving from community itself. He distinguished the "western" notion of community from the indigenous, identifying the latter with the relationship between work and nature focusing on the community as a social manifestation of what he considers the essence of communality. To clarify the dialectical relationship, he offers the following explanation:

The indigenous community is geometrical, compared to the western concept. This is not an abstract definition, but in order to explain it, he identified the fundamental elements that permit the construction of a specific community. Any indigenous community consists of the following elements:

- A territory, clearly identified and defined by its title
- A common history, that is communicated mouth to mouth and from one generation to another
- A specific dialect that identifies a common language
- An organization that defines the political, cultural, social, civil, economic, and religious spheres.
- A community system for the definition and administration of justice

That is, an indigenous community cannot be understood simply as an agglomeration of houses with people in them, but rather as a group of people with a history, a past, present, and future, that not only identify themselves quite concretely, physically, but also spiritually, in relation to nature itself.

A first definition of the community is the space in which people realize acts of recreation and transformation of nature, while their principal relationship is that of people with their land, through their work (Díaz, 2007:38-9).

Once the community has been defined in this way, then its difference with communality becomes clearer:

Communality defines the very essence of community, the intangible qualities that help specify the nature of the indigenous reality, the elements that contribute to its usefulness as a category, including:

- The land, as mother and as territory
- Consensus, as expressed in the communal assembly for decision making
- Voluntary service for community leaders
- Community service for all members, as part of the obligations of all members

The rituals and ceremonies, as an expression of the communal (Díaz, 2007:39-40).

To enrich this category, Martínez emphasizes the role of territory and of the authority of the community assembly, but also highlights and specifies the importance of local culture in contrast to others³. Communality

is a body of thought and action of community life. It is the result of the social appropriation of the land and of the codes of conduct established by the community's democratic processes ... Communality as such is the substantive body of thought emerging from regional and extraregional education, common agreements that dominate in the area. It is the body of values that predominates within and guides relations with others; it integrates individuality, but is something more than the sum of individualities. Communality is authority, but is something more than the exercise of power as a consensus. It confronts the external forces of the State in different areas: in education, in technology, in religion, and in fiestas. It is an integrative concept of various levels of power, encompassing urban areas as well as the rural community... Communalization is the daily exercise of communality (Martínez, 2003:51)

There are other aspects of daily life that are also part of the conception of the relations involved in the shaping of communality. One of the most notable is the set of organization and cultural measures that limit the individual accumulation of wealth at the expense of community work. Of particular importance in this regard is the channeling of resources from this work to infrastructure and communal services, as well as for the regular cycles of ritual celebrations and for community sanctioned forms of conspicuous consumption.

A complex system of checks and balances also is operative that attempts to stem the unsanctioned accumulation of political power within the communities, with its inevitable concentration of wealth.

In principle, nobody who accedes to a position of authority in the community has any excuse to enrich himself, since they are not paid a salary that would allow this. For the community there is no reasonable explanation that would legitimize the purchase of a new vehicle or a home in Oaxaca City. The community ethos is not based on the accumulation of wealth, but rather on the accumulation of prestige that comes from the exercise of power (Garibay, 2005:133)

Communality, then, is the gathering of a set of communitarian and institutional attributes, such as:

• **Direct or participatory democracy**, strengthened by the everyday practice as reflected in the community assembly and the oversight processes. That is, many of these

³ This conceptual formulation is derived from a proposal for local cultural control developed around the same time (Bonfil, 1987).

communities operate forms of direct democracy (continual use of the assembly to inform of and make decisions and to report on outcomes) as well as representative democracy. In Oaxaca, this led to electoral reforms permitted the incorporation of customary practices in the election of municipal authorities ("usos y costumbres") (Hernández Díaz, 2007). In this regard, it is particularly noteworthy to highlight the relationship between participative democracy and steps taken to ensure environmental balance (Mitchell, 2008);

- The organization of community work, which is undertaken without monetary compensation, but rather tied to the development of other systems of values based on local prestige or commitments imposed by the community as a condition for membership. This is evident in the variety of activities that are encompassed in this process: participation in general assemblies; participation in administrative and leadership positions, work brigades for construction, repair and maintenance of infrastructure, and contributions for ritual and community ceremonies (Martínez Luna, 2003);
- Community land holdings. Land is not only a factor of social cohesion, defined
 culturally and historically (as is the case of communal land holdings), but it is also a factor
 for the conservation of the space for production and reproduction of the society, its
 culture, and its ecosystems. With the territory as the basis for specific knowledge of
 biological and productive processes, involving the use and management of natural
 resources, the communal lands are also the material base for the exercise of political
 and productive autonomy;
- The cosmology. This concept comprises the full range of expressions of cultural
 perceptions of nature. It is central in our understanding of the way in which the
 community takes advantage of and manipulates nature for its benefit and for its
 conservation.

Communality is not simply the joint representation of individual over collective interests, as is the case of the "social contract" of Hobbes or Locke. It cannot be understood as

an agreement where each party to the contract can safeguard his or her individual interest; if the contract, the political organization, does not protect it, then the individual has the full right to act against the collectivity because the agreement was approved upon in reference to one's private interest; if it does not protect this interest, then the individual has the right to refuse to continue to participate (Villoro, 2003: 48-9).

In the case of the peasant or indigenous practice, it can be understood as a contract in which:

I accept the contract as part of the search for the welfare of the group; by accepting the will of the group, although it contravenes my individual interests, I will remain loyal to the contract...Democracy is, in this form of contract, a political association that is, necessarily, ethical – because it is a way of protecting the public group that guarantees the freedom of all – and, as a result, a guarantor of autonomy (Villoro, 2003: 49).

These characteristics are unquestionable and fundamental if the community is to successfully enter into negotiations with commercial and political bodies.

5. The epistemological roots: Conceptual contributions

Since 1994, the pressures against rural communities' resources and their autonomy have multiplied many-fold as international markets and the domestic policy environment have changed. A similar process began earlier with the dramatic changes in economic policy that impacted industrial and service sectors, reflecting an important shift in the constellation of political forces that spread rapidly throughout the leading western nations as well as in Latin America. Among the many elements generating this dramatic shift in the social and political environment confronting workers and the communities were: a) the structural adjustment measures that followed the guidelines of the "Washington Consensus" to promote the (neo)liberalization of markets and the deregulation of international investment flows; b) reduction of protection and real wages for working people; c) the increase in the value of the forests as a result of the introduction of programs for the payment for environmental services (that many communities are rejecting because they lead to a loss of local control over their utilization); d) the growing demand for forest products and non-petroleum mineral resources required for industry (especially, military, electronics, automobile, and health) in the North; e) the high concentration of the new mining reserves in indigenous communities; and, f) the granting of these mining and forestry concessions without regard to international law about environmental or cultural impacts.

The experience of the mining and forestry industries illustrates the epistemological importance of the cultural and political meaning of sustainability in the current setting.⁴ In fact, this is probably one of the key paradigmatic areas of debate in Latin America today, as social forces raise the question of the changing character of society in the context of demands for justice, equality, democracy, and sustainability. The disputes over forestry or mining concessions and the privatization of water management clearly reflect the confrontation between opposing perspectives about the very meaning of development, of progress, and, especially, of sustainability.

The orthodox theoretical and political perspectives impose a well-defined bias that explains the processes provoking confrontations among peoples globally. This bias centers on the

⁴ The International Institute for the Environment and Development undertook an ambitious study of the possibility of the "sustainable" management of mining, concluding that there were many insurmountable problems (2002).

lack of inclusion of any ethical dimensions of sustainability in their impact evaluations. The resulting struggles not only raise questions about the impact of this exploitation on the integrity and reproducibility of ecosystems but also about the distribution of the benefits among social groups affected by the production processes. In place of market valuations, the communities call for criteria that take into account a multiplicity of social, economic, and environmental dimensions, generating movements against resource depredation that have come to be known as the "environmentalism of the poor", reflecting values like those prevalent in the Mesoamerican cultures, but also an integral part of cultures in other parts of the world and increasingly being incorporated into urban working-class cultures.⁵

Many analysts consider that this strong commitment to sustainability is firmly rooted in the high degree of biodiversity that still remains in their territories. Even in the instances where these groups have been uprooted from ancestral lands by colonial expansion, scholars find that many of these groups have learned or relearned to develop productive systems that are in consonance with the conservation of much of the regions' biodiversity, creating an invaluable biocultural heritage that is increasingly appreciated as it erodes or is destroyed in other regions occupied by communities regulated by Western cultural traditions and globalization (Boege, 2008).

This synergy between conservation and cultural diversity is a product of the cosmologies and of indigenous people's institutions within the framework of the new idea of communality that conflicts with international development programs. In this context, the emergence of the paradigm of "buen vivir" also takes on added meaning (Acosta 2010), as communities discover new elements of communality among themselves, promoting a sense of cohesiveness, enriching the intercultural dialogues about their varying ideas of progress and of sustainability in the construction of the concept of "nation."

The struggles around the national project center on three major players: the State, international capital, and the social groups that inherited strong alternative ideas of culture and organization. In their efforts to build a sustainable society, the first two share a vision of the "nation", based on the competitive incorporation of the process of international economic integration, from which the idea of sustainable development is directly tied to the need for sustained economic growth, for which natural resource exploitation is essential. The dispute is not simply about differing visions among social actors, but also part of the discourse in which public policy (economic, environmental, social, educational) is defined. The process

_

⁵ A concept introduced by Ramachandra Guha (1997) and popularized by Joan Martínez Alier (2002).

inevitably prejudges the outcomes, emerging from the foundations of orthodox economic theory that identifies the market as the regulatory and equilibrating mechanism for society.

In contrast, the approach adopted by the social groups insists on a revaluation of sustainability itself, using other perspectives that favor a greater social and environmental responsibility. The issues of alternative, more complex languages of valuation of nature and cultural ideas, of distributive conflicts (environmental and economic), and of interculturality are all ethical matters that move the debate to a more unified plane than that proposed by today's policy makers. In this setting matter of environmental justice acquires special significance, as part of the operationalization of the concept of communality. They have no single, homogeneous idea, but rather incorporate their differing cultural appreciations of progress. An omnipresent sociocultural aspect is the link between territory and communality; territory reveals and embodies the base for cultural identity and sustenance, while communality is a political-cultural instrument for dialogue.

Many lessons have been extracted from these individual community struggles and strategies. From an epistemological perspective, the category of communality becomes crucial for defining and using alternative systems of valuation of nature than those employed by global economic forces and institutions. On a political plane, authoritarian State intervention generates social conflict that disempowers the communities by "blaming the victim." Ironically, in the process, official policies actions are galvanizing communities into action, catalyzing an organized response (through the development of communality) that accurately identifies its social exclusion, their absence from the "national project" of which they have learned to feel that they deserve to be a part.

The analysis reveals how peasant "praxis" – set in motion by the implementation of a program of communality – is not static. It requires a constant renovation, a reassertion of the idea of autonomy and of spaces in which to exercise this autonomy; this is a delicate process that requires constant challenges to market rationality and to the State itself. This process also involves a continuing interaction with individuals within the community who see the imposition of a collective weal as an attack on their benefits; this struggle for the distribution of benefits, then, is not simply a global demand for justice, but also a local battle for the very structure community.

The contending players are attempting to define shape the impact of the new socioenvironmental scenarios on environmental justice. The "diálogo de saberes" (Leff, 2004, 2006) or the intercultural dialogue (Zemelman and Quintanar, 2007) are processes

demanded by the communities as they reject a unitary conception of modernity, or post-modernity. They are seeking a new collaboration that attempts to advance towards a transmodernity or interculturality (Dussel, 2006), an alternative modernity (Toledo, 2000).

The "local solutions" proposed by the pluriculturality of the societies involve the reconsideration of the meaning of sustainability. It is not simply of ethical importance but also involves important political and epistemological considerations of great theoretical and methodological importance for the construction of more inclusive, democratic, and equitable societies; all inherent features of sustainability itself. Thus, the contribution of the communities – their 'praxis' – is also contributing to enrich academic work in emerging fields of ecological economics and political ecology where the revaluing of nature and of culture are important points of departure.

6. The case studies

The specific circumstances that led the peoples from highland Oaxaca to offer intellectual leadership for the development of an alternative vision of the possibilities for self-development are being reproduced throughout Mesoamerica, as foreign investment and neo-liberal economic policies are forcing more and more indigenous communities to question their ability to progress within the folds of the capitalist system. The most dramatic of these "realizations" in Mexico was the Zapatista uprising in 1994 that came on the eve of the entrance into force of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), one of a large number of such pacts that Mexico has since signed with partners around the world; they continue to be defended as channels to promote an increase in commerce. The Zapatistas warned that the NAFTA would decimate small-scale producers who would not be able to compete with the cheaper imports of mass produced consumer goods that would flood the domestic market, most especially food products that would compromise the health and welfare of millions of peasants in Mexico's predominantly rain-fed small-scale farmer maize growing regions (Baronnet, et al., 2011).

The case studies on which the present paper is based involve social groups that are engaged in diverse kinds of conflicts to defend their patrimony or in programs to implement new approaches to improving their welfare. These examples offer insights into a broader effort to design new strategies for their advance, based on different cosmologies that are being defined and strengthened as part of the same process. The community participants are

explaining the communities' logic in adopting these alternatives as well as promoting a discussion that is clarifying the similarities and differences among them.

On the basis of this collaboration, we have identified different approaches being adopted by the various social groups. The local solutions involve different measures of autonomy from the prevailing development models in their societies; the extreme posture of local autonomy adopted by some of the indigenous communities in Oaxaca or Chiapas, contrasts with different approaches involving the co-management of resources being attempted by Brazilian communities, the farming communities in Michoacan, or the workers' engaged in managing failed enterprises in Argentina. Finally, we have the cases of groups attempting to implement technological innovations to improve their welfare without modifying the forms of social and political integration with the societies of which they are a part. Among the examples contributing to this analysis are:

- 1) A group of communities from the Sierra Juárez of Oaxaca in which people who held positions of responsibility explain the complexity of the decision-making process for implementing community projects and the on-going mechanisms for evaluating a reevaluating these decisions. Among the activities in which these communities are involved are forestry, wood processing, furniture manufacture, mining, water management and bottling, vegetable and flower cultivation, and food production, in addition to diverse commercial ventures such as gasoline stations and eco-tourism (travel and hotels). This group of communities is engaging in a complex process of learning to assure the profitability of commercial enterprises, while trying to avoid letting the profit motive dominate by attempting to condition their operations to the norms imposed by collective management and ownership with the subtleties involved in ensuring an appropriate participation for women, professional training, and adequate protection for workers, even as community members. Diverse outside pressures to limit the success of these projects and internal conflicts generated by people seeking private profit as well as overt government policies to wrest control of valuable natural resources from the communities make this region an excellent showcase for testing the hypothesis of "communality" proposed by Díaz and Martínez, analyzed above.
- 2) Another important area that has accumulated important experiences in developing alternative strategies for social and productive development is located in the Sierra Norte de Puebla, with a long history of cooperative organization to assure local needs and the production for 'export' to fair trade markets. Their diversified organization structure has allowed them to prosper in an adverse economic setting and produce a variety of products. Of particular interest is the experience garnered by individuals within the group that has

encouraged a multiplicity of activities that has proved of great value in the consolidation of the broader project and prepared them well for the dramatic changes in local policies that might have otherwise undermined the group.

- 3) A third region incorporates a group of communities in the inhospitable hot country of Michoacan. A group of specialists is examining strategies for generating sustainable livelihoods based on a specialized knowledge of local resource management. The interesting quality of this phase of the project is the participant of marginal social groups that have responded with interest. In spite of a long history of strong individualistic behavior, there are indications of interest in proposals that involve collective processes of ecosystem management that would promote community and social integration.
- 4) Another direction for collaboration involves regional members of the global coalition of "Vía Campesina" (Martínez Torres y Rosset, 2010). An interesting element of the participation of this group in the project is its willingness to collaborate to understand and document experiences in the construction of alternatives to promote local autonomy and the definition of local objectives to meet the social and productive objectives. Among the important technologies and approaches emphasized by this group is the development and application of the principles of agroecology in the context of a commitment to food self-sufficiency and environmental rehabilitation as part of a strategy to overcome the various crises afflicting their members (Nigh, 2002; Rosset, et al., 2011).
- 5) In an effort to examine a less extreme form of the search for autonomy, a group of university collaborators are working to strengthen local communities with new technologies that facilitate the fuller utilization in a sustainable manner of local resources. This group of activities involves the identification of available resources and skills that might be mobilized to introduce new lines of production and create new opportunities for people who might then play a significant role in community governance. Two specific projects, implemented in a collaboration between local communities and the university, include: 1) the production of low-fat pork, modifying animal diets to include local waste avocados that transform high-density into low-density cholesterol in the pigs, thus resulting in a healthier meat, produced in more environmentally sound conditions; and 2) The production of eggs enriched with Omega-3 in peasant communities by including purslane grown in the communities in the hens' diet. Other examples of activities along these lines, include water management projects for improving agricultural productivity and facilitating agroindustrial production of valuable products like mezcal and the planting of mulberry trees on degraded forest lands to provide the basis for the reintroductions of silk production in peasant communities, accelerating the possibility of

adoption by encouraging an exchange of experiences with communities already producing silk. This set of activities is guided by our conviction that many communities are actively looking for new products and new opportunities for work and income that will reduce their dependence on labor markets and improve their possibilities for trade in local and regional markets (Barkin, 2010).

6) A different type of proposal is that coming from the coalitions of communities organizing to oppose development proposals throughout the country. Some of these groups have a single sector focus, as the network against mining concessions or the one focusing on dam projects. However, even these are expanding beyond their original purpose to become active participants in an umbrella group of environmentally affected communities. While the initial impetus for these organizations was generally a protest against specific actions or projects, more recently they have begun to participate in a new dynamic to propose alternative strategies for local and regional development on the basis of popular participation for the mobilization of community resources (Delgado, 2010; Garibay and Balzaretti, 2009).

A detailed case study of the Xikrin people in Northwest Brazil in our collaborative relationship with the Center for Sustainable Development in Brasilia is expected to highlight the ways in which an indigenous community tries to negotiate greater living space as a hydroelectric project threatens to erode many of the resources they require for continued existence as an autonomous tribe (Cohn, 2010; Gordon, 2006).

7) A study of the evolution of cooperative mechanisms being employed by some fisher people's associations in the Lower Amazonian floodplain suggests the effectiveness of local management processes to guarantee the ability of the participating groups to assure the conservation of their ecosystems and the viability of the fish populations in the area. This collaborative process involves a new territorial model involving collaboration of local communities with grassroots organizations, NGOs and state agencies in Brazil, a distinct contrast with the proposals being implemented by our other collaborating groups where the local communities often find themselves at odds with regional and national authorities (Castro, 2011). This initiative is significant because the local management systems are included in the management plan and the local population plays a key role in the monitoring of the area. The goal of this study is to evaluate how the formal recognition of the local management system by the state influences the performance of this local institution as measured by autonomy, well-being, conservation, and social justice.

8) The experience of the workers' movement in the recuperated workplaces in Argentina is particularly intriguing. The participants are exploring the possibilities for a group of former industrial workers to extend beyond the traditional confines of their work centers to develop a more inclusive strategy that takes into account their linkages with other productive and service sectors and relationships with their ecosystems. They are questioning the degree to which the new consciousness of the cooperatives' members can lead them to expand their influence beyond their immediate circles of action.

6. Conclusions

The search for local solutions for environmental justice is as challenging as the tasks facing communities themselves in trying to implement their own proposals for participating in and/or building a different society. In this regard, the theory we are using goes beyond a critique of development theory to produce an alternative (e.g., Gudynas 2011; Barkin and Lemus, 2011). Although some of the case studies mentioned above involve extreme proposals of local autonomy, many of the remaining case studies involve varying degrees of collaboration and cooperation within the market economy. The analysis is testing the possibilities of these differing degrees of separation from dominant social institutions.

The proposals being considered by the communities searching for their own alternatives to the western model of economic integration offer insights into the way in which their cosmologies are guiding them to forge their own strategies. The project attempts to explain how communality can lead to construct new approaches, consistent with the double challenge of improving the well being of their members while also conserving or rehabilitating the ecosystems on which they depend. In this sense, then, the Mesoamerican communities which are the focus of this work are involved in tasks similar to those of their South American brethren, attempting to integrate the concept of "buen vivir" (Sumak Kawsay) into a meaningful program of sustainability.

The communities are now involved in codifying their own cosmologies and their own proposals for moving forward, and our collaboration involves the promotion of collaborations among them to stimulate a broader understanding of the alternatives presently under consideration. It is clear that the choices offered by the dominant model of international integration cannot respond to even the minimal needs of these communities. The challenge facing them today, then, is to identify the possibilities of forging manageable alternatives that can deliver meaningful improvements in well being and satisfactory models of ecosystem management that will permit the social metabolism to thrive.

Bibliography

Acosta, A., 2010. Only by imagining other worlds, this one will be changed. Thoughts about good living. *Sustentabilidades* No 2.

Barkin, D., 2010. "The struggle for local autonomy in a multiethnic society: Constructing alternatives with indigenous epistemologies." In: Esquith, S., Gifford, F. (Eds.), *Capabilities, Power and Institutions. Towards a more comprehensive development ethics*, Penn State University Press, College Station, PA, pp. 142-161.

Barkin, D., 2000. Overcoming the Neoliberal Paradigm: Sustainable popular development. *Journal of Developing Societies* XVI(1): 163-180.

Barkin, D., 1998. Wealth, Poverty and Sustainable Development. Editorial Jus, México.

Barkin, D., Lemus, B. 2011. La Economía Ecológica y Solidaria: Una propuesta frente a nuestra crisis. *Sustentabilidades* No. 5.

Baronnet, B., Mora Bayo, M., Stahler-Sholk, R. (Comps.), 2011. Luchas muy otras: Zapatismo y autonomía en las comunidades indígenas de Chiapas. UAM-X, México.

Bebbington, A., 1998. Sustaining the Andes? Social Capital and Policies for Rural Regeneration in Bolivia, *Mountain Research and Development*, 18(2): 173-181.

Berkes, F., Davidson-Hunt, I.J., 2007. Communities and social enterprises in the age of globalization. *Journal of Enterprising Communities*. 1(3):209-221.

Boege, E., 2008. Patrimonio Biocultural de los Pueblos Indígenas de México: Hacia la conservación in situ de la biodiversidad y agrodiversidad en territorios de los pueblos indígenas. INAH- CDI, México.

Bonfil Batalla, G., 1987. México profundo. Una civilización negada. Grijalbo, México:.

Boyce, J.K., S. Narain, E.A. Stanton, 2006. Reclaiming Nature: Environmental justice and ecological restoration. Anthem Press, New York.

Bray, D.B., L. Merino, D. Barry, 2007. Los bosques comunitarios de México. Manejo sustentable de paisajes forestales. SEMARNAT-INE; Instituto de Geografía de la UNAM; Consejo Mexicano para la Silvicultura Sostenible, México.

Castro, F., 2011. Management and Conservation of Aquatic Resources: Fish and Wildlife. In: Pinedo Vasquez, M., M. Ruffino, E. Brondizio, C. Padoch, *The Amazonian Floodplain: The decade past and the decade ahead.* Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 101-6.

Cohn, C., 2010. "Belo Monte e os Processos de Licenciamento Ambiental: As percepções e atuações dos Xikrin e de seus antropólogos" *Revista de Antropologia Social dos Alunos do PPGAS – UFSCar.* 2(2).

Comisión Nacional para el Desarrollo de los Pueblos Indígenas (CDI), 2007. *Identidad y organización indígena de cara al siglo XXI*. CDI, México.

Comisión Nacional Forestal (Conafor), 2008. "Acerca de la Conafor", *México Forestal*, num. 82, 14-27 de abril, revista electrónica: http://www.conafor.gob.mx.

Delgado, G.C., 2010. La ecología política de la minería en América Latina. Aspectos socioeconómicos, legales y ambientales de la megaminería. CIICH-UNAM, México.

Díaz, F., 2007. "Comunidad y comunalidad", In: Robles, S., R. Cardoso, (Comps.), *Floriberto Díaz. Comunalidad, energía viva del pensamiento*. UNAM, México, pp. 34-50.

Dussel, E., 2006. Filosofía de la cultura y la liberación. UACM, México.

Dussel, E., E. Mendieta, C. Bohórquez, (Eds.), 2010. El Pensamiento Filosófico Latinoamericano, del Caribe y Latino [1300-2000]. Siglo XXI editores, México.

Freire, P., 2011. Cartas a quien pretende enseñar. (5 ed.) Siglo XXI editores, México.

Frey, S. R., 2000. Environment and Society Reader. Allyn and Bacon/Longman, Boston.

Fuente, M., D. Barkin, 2011. Concesiones forestales, exclusión y sustentabilidad. Lecciones desde las comunidades de la Sierra Norte de Oaxaca. *Desacatos*, No. 37: 93-110.

Funtowicz, S., J. Ravetz, 1993. Science for the post-normal age. Futures, 25:739-755.

Garibay Orozco, C., 2005. La transformación del comunalismo forestal: Identidad comunitaria, empresa social y poder corporado en el México contemporáneo, Tesis de Doctorado en Ciencias Sociales, CIESAS Occidente, Guadalajara, Jalisco.

Garibay Orozco, C., Balzaretti Camacho, A., 2009. Goldcorp y la reciprocidad negativa en el paisaje minero de Mezcala, Guerrero. *Desacatos*. 30:91-110.

Gordon, C., 2006. Economia Selvagem: Ritual e Mercadoria entre os índios Xikrin Mebêngôkre. Editora UNESP, São Paulo.

Gunderson, L., Folke. C., 2011. Resilience 2011: Leading Transformational Change. Ecology and Society 16(2): 30.

Harvey, D., 2003. The 'New' Imperialism. Accumulation by dispossession. In: Panitch, L, C. Leys (Eds.), *The New Imperial Challenge: Socialist Register, 2004.* Merlin, London.

Hernández Díaz, J., (Coord.), 2007. Ciudadanías diferenciadas en un estado multicultural: los usos y costumbre en Oaxaca. Siglo XXI-IIS, UABJO, México.

Hernández Xolocotzi, E., 1985. "Xolocotzia. Obras de Efraím Hernández Xolocotzi." *Revista de Geografía Agrícola*.

Hirsch Hadorn, G., D. Bradley, C. Pohl, S. Rist, U. Wiesmann, 2006. Implications of Transdisciplinarity for Sustainability Research, Ecological Economics 60(1):119-28.

Holling, C.S., 2001. Understanding the Complexity of Economic, Ecological, and Social Systems. *Ecosystems* 4:390-405.

Hornborg, A., J.R. McNeill, J. Martínez-Alier, 2007. Rethinking environmental history: World-system history and global environmental change. AltaMira Press, Lanham, MD.. Institute for the Environment and Development, 2002. Minería y Minerales de América del Sur en la Transición al Desarrollo Sustentable. IIED, London.

Klooster, D., 2000. "Institutional Choice, Community, and Struggle: A Case Study of Forest Co-Management in Mexico." *World Development* 28(1):1-20.

Leff, E., 2010. Imaginarios Sociales y Sustentabilidad. *Cultura y Representaciones Sociales* 5(9):47-121.

Leff, E., 2006. Aventuras de la epistemología ambiental. De la articulación de las ciencias al Diálogo de Saberes. Siglo XXI, México.

Leff, E., 2004. Racionalidad Ambiental. La reapropiación social de la naturaleza. Siglo XXI, México.

Lockhart, J., 1985. Los nahuas después de la Conquista. FCE, México.

Loyaza, R., Rist, S., 2000. Development Must Be Based on Local Cultures! The Struggle for Local Independence and Self-Determination, *Mountain Research and Development* 20(1): 16-19.

Martínez Alier, J., 2002. The Environmentalism of the Poor: A study of ecological conflicts and valuation. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK.

Martínez Alier, J., Guha, R., 1997. Varieties of Environmentalism. Earthscan, London.

Martínez Luna, J., 2010. *Eso que llaman comunalidad*. CONACULTA-CAMPO-Fundación Harp Helú-Secretaria de Cultura-Oaxaca, México..

Martínez Luna, J. 2003. *Comunalidad y Desarrollo*. México: CONACULTA y Centro de Apoyo al Movimiento Popular Oaxaqueño, A.C.

Mathews, A.S., 2003. Suppressing fire and memory: Environmental degradation and political restoration in the Sierra Juárez of Oaxaca, 1887–2001. *Environmental History* 8(1):75–108.

Mitchell, R.E., 2008. El Ejercicio de la Democracia en dos Comunidades Forestales de la Sierra Norte de Oaxaca, México, *Desacatos* 27:147-168.

Nepal, S.K., 2002. Mountain Ecotourism and Sustainable Development: Ecology, Economics, and Ethics. *Mountain Research and Development* 22(2):104-109.

Postero, N.G., Zamosc, L., 2004. The Struggle for Indian Rights in Latin America. Sussex Academic Press, Brighton.

Ravetz, J., Funtowicz, S., 1999. Post-Normal Science – an insight now maturing. *Futures* 31: 641-646.

Rist, G., 2008. The History of Development: From Western origins to global faith. (3 ed.), Zed Books, London.

Rist, S., 2000. Linking Ethics and the Market: Campesino Economic Strategies in the Bolivian Andes, *Mountain Research and Development* 20(4): 310-315.

Sánchez Vázquez, A., 2003. Filosofía de la praxis. Siglo XXI, México.

Sarmiento, L., Monasterio, M., Montilla, M., 1993. Ecological Bases, Sustainability, and Current Trends in Traditional Agriculture in the Venezuelan High Andes, *Mountain Research and Development* 13(2): 167-176.

Toledo, V.M., 2000. La Paz en Chiapas: Ecología, luchas indígenas y modernidad alternativa. UNAM y Quinto Sol, México.

Toledo, **V.M.**, **Barrera Bassols**, **N.**, 2008. La memoria biocultural: La importancia ecológica de las sabidurías tradicionales. Icaria , Barcelona.

Tortosa, J.M., 2010. *Maldesarrollo y mal vivir: Pobreza y violencia a escala mundial.* Abya Yala, Quito.

Villoro, L., 2004. Crecer, Saber Conocer. Siglo XXI, México.

Villoro, L., 2003. De la libertad a la comunidad. FCE-ITESM, México.

Warren, K.B., Jackson, J.E., 2003. *Indigenous Movements, Self-Representation, and the State in Latin America*. University of Texas Press, Austin, TX.

Wolf, E.R., 1982. *Europe and the People Without History*. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA.

Zemelman, H., Quintanar, E., 2007. Conversaciones acerca del interculturalidad y conocimiento. IPN – IPECAL, México.